



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/005,766	11/02/2001	Antti Ruha	872.0100.U1(US)	872.0100.U1(US) 7390	
29683	7590 03/14/2006		EXAMINER		
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP 4 RESEARCH DRIVE			TRAN, PABLO N		
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2685	2685 DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006	
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/005,766	RUHA ET AL.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pablo N. Tran	2618
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS		
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	iffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th	e final rejection, whichever is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montherarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two months of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e		
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time period set io	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	f, will <u>not</u> be entered because TE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendment canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		·
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  B	ut before as an the date of filing - b	lating of Association (II)
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is necessary
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation		

PABLO N. TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

11. Main The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the 112 rejection, the Applicant stated that, "said other IC" derives proper antecedent basis from the preceding recitation of "another IC". In response to the Applicant, the claimed language is inconsistent and the rejection is proper. Regarding the 102 rejection, Applicant stated that, "there is no suggestion of a single circuit selectively inter-connectable to operate in either a single-ended mode or differential mode". In response to the Applicant, the claimed limitation for which the Applicant relied upon is not in the claim. Furthermore, Hedberg disclose such transmit/receive I/O circuits (fig. 5-6) that selectively inter-connectable to operate in either single-ended, voltage mode or differential voltage mode (col. 1/ln. 15-48, col. 2/ln. 7-28, especially col. 2/ln. 22-28).